

ning on page 70, line 6, through page 72, line 16, in the reported bill.

§ 19. Amendments to Titles and Preambles

Title Amendments; When Considered

§ 19.1 Amendments to the title of a bill are not in order until after passage of the bill, and are then voted upon without debate (see Rule XIX).

On Dec. 2, 1975,⁽¹⁸⁾ the Committee of the Whole having agreed to an amendment in the nature of a substitute, a further amendment was offered to the bill⁽¹⁹⁾ and proceedings occurred as follows:

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽²⁰⁾ The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. J. William Stanton).

The question was taken; and on a division (demanded by Mr. Bauman) there were—ayes 71, nays 31.

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

MR. J. WILLIAM STANTON: Mr. Chairman, I offer a technical amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair will advise the gentleman from Ohio that in-

asmuch as the amendment in the nature of a substitute has been agreed to, no further amendments are in order at this time. The amendment sent to the desk by the gentleman from Ohio would be in order in the House after the committee has risen. . . .

Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker having resumed the chair, Mr. O'Hara, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 10481) to authorize emergency guarantees of obligations of States and political subdivisions thereof. . . .

THE SPEAKER:⁽¹⁾ Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

MR. [ROBERT E.] BAUMAN [of Maryland]: Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

THE SPEAKER: Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

18. 121 CONG. REC. 38193, 38194, 94th Cong. 1st Sess.

19. H.R. 10481, Intergovernmental Emergency Assistance Act.

20. James G. O'Hara (Mich.).

1. Carl Albert (Okla.).

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 213, nays 203, answered “present” 2, not voting 16, as follows: . . .

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MR. J. WILLIAM STANTON: Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the title.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. J. William Stanton to the title: Amend the title so as to read: ‘A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to provide seasonal financing for the City of New York.’

The title amendment was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

§ 19.2 Amendments to the title of a bill may be considered in the House after the passage of the bill.

A rule⁽²⁾ provides that, “amendments to the title of a bill or a resolution shall not be in order until after its passage, and shall be decided without debate.”

On Jan. 21, 1964,⁽³⁾ The following proceedings took place:

2. Rule XIX, *House Rules and Manual* § 822 (101st Cong.).

3. 110 CONG. REC. 759, 88th Cong. 2d Sess. Under consideration was H.R. 4879.

See, as a further example, 108 CONG. REC. 1183, 1184, 87th Cong.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendments offered by Mr. [Peter H. B.] Frelinghuysen (Jr., of New Jersey): On page 1, amend the title of the bill by striking out the period after “libraries” and inserting in lieu thereof “in rural areas.” . . .

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽⁴⁾ The Chair will have to advise the gentleman from New Jersey, the author of the amendments, that the first two lines of the amendments attempting to amend the title are not in order, because an amendment to a title is not in order until after the passage of the bill. So the question will occur on the balance of the amendments.

§ 19.3 Amendments to titles of bills are properly presented after the bill is passed and are not debatable.

On Dec. 11, 1947⁽⁵⁾ The following proceedings took place:

MR. [CHARLES J.] KERSTEN of Wisconsin: Mr. Speaker, I have an amendment to change the title of the bill, which I understand is proper.

THE SPEAKER:⁽⁶⁾ That will come after the passage of the bill.

2d Sess., Jan. 30, 1962 (proceedings relating to H.R. 8900 and an amendment to the title thereof offered by Mrs. Edith S. Green [Oreg.]).

4. William S. Moorhead (Pa.).

5. 93 CONG. REC. 11307, 80th Cong. 1st Sess. Under consideration was H.R. 4604, to promote world peace and the national interest and foreign policy of the United States by providing aid to certain foreign countries.

6. Joseph W. Martin, Jr. (Mass.).

MR. KERSTEN of Wisconsin: I should like to inform the membership that this is an important amendment and I should like to speak on it.

THE SPEAKER: It is not debatable.

§ 19.4 Pursuant to Rule XIX, the title of a bill can only be amended after the bill has been passed, and an amendment in Committee of the Whole proposing inter alia an amendment to the title is not in order; accordingly, where a Member offers an amendment under the five-minute rule which includes an amendment to the title, the Chair may direct the Clerk to disregard that portion of the amendment and report only the amendment to the text of the bill.

An example of the proposition described above occurred on Jan. 29, 1986,⁽⁷⁾ during consideration of House Resolution 364:

MR. (BILL) FRENZEL [of Minnesota]: Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽⁸⁾ The Chair would remind the gentleman from Minnesota that the first part of his amendment amends the title of the bill, and the title cannot be amended in the Committee of the Whole.

MR. FRENZEL: I thank the Chair for pointing that out.

7. 132 CONG. REC. 680, 99th Cong. 2d Sess.

8. Doug Barnard, Jr. (Ga.).

THE CHAIRMAN: If the gentleman wishes to strike the first part of the amendment, the amendment could be considered.

MR. FRENZEL: Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that the language of my amendment referring to the title of the bill be deleted from my amendment, and that the amendment be considered.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will disregard that portion referring to the title and will report the amendment.

§ 19.5 Where a Member attempts to offer an amendment to the title of a bill in Committee of the Whole under the five-minute rule, the Chair may rule it out of order under Rule XIX on his own initiative and need not rule on the germaneness of the amendment to the bill under Rule XVI clause 7.

On Jan. 29, 1986,⁽⁹⁾ it was demonstrated that, where a point of order is raised against the germaneness of an amendment offered in Committee of the Whole to the title of a bill, the Chair may nevertheless rule it out of order under Rule XIX rather than rule on the germaneness of the subject of the amendment:

MR. [BILL] FRENZEL [of Minnesota]: Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

9. 132 CONG. REC. 682, 99th Cong. 2d Sess.

Amendment offered by Mr. Frenzel: On page 1, after the word "for" in the title, insert the following: "for Presidential primary dates on which there is more than one State conducting its primary election and" . . .

MR. [AL] SWIFT [of Washington]: . . . I make a point of order against the amendment on the grounds that it is in violation of clause 7 of rule XVI, the germaneness rule. I would say in particular "Deschler's Procedure," chapter 28, section 7, to the effect that "one individual proposition is not germane to another individual proposition." This bill deals exclusively with Presidential general elections. The amendment deals with Presidential primary elections and I make the point of order that it is not germane. . . .

MR. FRENZEL: Mr. Chairman, I have had this done to me before on a very similar point of order which was sustained by the Chair a number of years ago. I suspect that the precedents are not with me on it; nevertheless I think any rational reading of our rules and of our precedents in a philosophical way and any presentation to a body of Americans who can read or write would result in the amendment being declared germane. . . .

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽¹⁰⁾ The Chair is prepared to rule.

In this case the matter of germaneness need not be decided, inasmuch as the amendment clearly violates rule XIX because it only amends the title of the bill and the title of the bill can only be amended after the bill is passed; so the Chair sustains the point of order.

10. Doug Barnard, Jr. (Ga.).

Amending Committee Amendments to Title

§ 19.6 Under Rule XIX, stating that amendments to the title of a bill are considered in the House after passage of the bill, committee amendments to the title of a bill are automatically reported by the Clerk after passage of the bill, but an amendment to a committee amendment to the title may be offered from the floor and is voted on without debate.

An illustration of the procedure described above is found in the proceedings of Sept. 23, 1977,⁽¹¹⁾ during consideration of H.R. 5383, Age Discrimination in Employment Act Amendments of 1971.

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

TITLE AMENDMENT

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:⁽¹²⁾ The Clerk will report the title amendment to the bill.

The Clerk read as follows:

Title amendment: Amend the title so as to read: "A bill to amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 to provide that Federal employees who are 40 years of age or older shall be protected by the provi-

11. 123 CONG. REC. 30573, 30574, 95th Cong. 1st Sess.

12. Richard Nolan (Minn.).

sions of section 15 of such Act, and for other purposes.”.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. HAWKINS
TO THE TITLE AMENDMENT

MR. [AUGUSTUS F.] HAWKINS [OF CALIFORNIA]: Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the title amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. Hawkins to the title amendment: Page 7, strike out the matter following line 5 and insert in lieu thereof the following:

Amend the title so as to read as follows: “A bill to amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 to extend the age group of employees who are protected by the provisions of such Act, and for other purposes.”.

The amendment to the title amendment was agreed to.

The title amendment, as amended, was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Preamble Amendments; When Considered

§ 19.7 Amendments to the preamble of a joint resolution are considered in the Committee of the Whole following disposition of any amendments to the text following the resolving clause.

On Mar. 22, 1967, an illustration of this procedure took place.

The proceedings were as follows: ⁽¹³⁾

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Congress recommends, in support of the concept of a Latin American Common Market. . . .

THE CHAIRMAN: ⁽¹⁴⁾ The Clerk will report the committee amendment. . . .

The Clerk read as follows:

Strike out all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

“That the Congress supports the concept of a Latin American Common Market and, after appropriate steps have been taken. . . .”

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any amendments to the committee amendment? If not, the question is on the committee amendment.

The committee amendment was agreed to.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will read the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.J. RES. 428

Whereas it has been an historic policy of the United States to work in close harmony with our sister American Republics. . . .

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will report the first committee amendment to the preamble.

§ 19.8 Amendments to the preamble of a joint resolution

13. 113 CONG. REC. 7679–83, 90th Cong. 1st Sess. Under consideration was H.J. Res. 428.

14. Charles M. Price (Ill.).

are considered in the Committee of the Whole following the disposition of any amendments to the body of the resolution.

On Mar. 9, 1967,⁽¹⁵⁾ the following proceedings took place:

The Clerk read as follows:

H.J. RES. 267

Whereas the Congress has declared it to be the policy of the United States to combat hunger and malnutrition and to encourage economic development in the developing countries; and . . .

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Congress approves the participation of the United States. . . .

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽¹⁶⁾ The Clerk will report the first committee amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

On page 2, lines 7 and 8, strike the word "Agricultural". . . .

The committee amendment was agreed to.

MR. [PAUL] FINDLEY [of Illinois]: Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

MR. [WILLIAM R.] POAGE [of Texas]: Mr. Chairman, there are two additional committee amendments to the preamble.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair will inform the gentleman they cannot be

considered until the body of the resolution has been perfected, at which time they will be considered.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. Findley: On page 3, after line 2, add the following paragraph: . . .

The amendment was agreed to. . . .

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will report the amendments to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

In the second paragraph of the preamble strike the word "Indian".

Parliamentarian's Note: The Clerk normally does not read the preamble for amendment, but merely reports amendments thereto.

§ 19.9 Amendments to the preamble of a joint resolution are considered in the Committee of the Whole following the disposition of any amendments to the body of the resolution; and, in the House, amendments to the preamble of a joint resolution reported from Committee of the Whole are considered following engrossment and prior to third reading of the resolution.

On Oct. 29, 1975,⁽¹⁷⁾ the Committee of the Whole amended the preamble of a joint resolution⁽¹⁸⁾

15. 113 CONG. REC. 6032-34, 90th Cong. 1st Sess. Under consideration was H.J. Res. 267.

See also 93 CONG. REC. 2416, 80th Cong. 1st Sess., Mar. 21, 1947.

16. John S. Monagan (Conn.).

17. 121 CONG. REC. 34282, 34283, 94th Cong. 1st Sess.

18. H.J. Res. 92, economic and social census statistics relating to Americans of Spanish origin or descent.

and the House later concurred in its recommendation. The proceedings were as follows:

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽¹⁹⁾ Are there further amendments to the bill? If not, the Clerk will report the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Whereas more than twelve million Americans identify themselves as being of Spanish-speaking background and trace their origin or descent from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Cuba, Central and South America, and other Spanish-speaking countries. . . .

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will report the committee amendment to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Committee amendment: Amend the preamble by striking out "Western Hemisphere".

The committee amendment to the preamble was agreed to.

THE CHAIRMAN: Under the rule, the committee rises.

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker having resumed the chair, Mr. Randall, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 92) relating to the publication of economic and social statistics for Americans of Spanish origin or descent, pursuant to House Resolution 799, he reported the joint resolution back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

THE SPEAKER:⁽²⁰⁾ Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

19. William J. Randall (Mo.).

20. Carl Albert (Okla.).

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gross.

The amendments were agreed to.

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the engrossment of the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed.

THE SPEAKER: The Clerk will report the amendment to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amend the preamble by striking out "Western Hemisphere".

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the amendment to the preamble.

The amendment to the preamble was agreed to.

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the third reading of the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was ordered to be read a third time, and was read the third time.

§ 19.10 The preamble of a joint resolution is properly amended in the House after the engrossment and pending the third reading of the resolution.

On Oct. 7, 1966,⁽¹⁾ the following proceedings took place:

THE SPEAKER:⁽²⁾ The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the House joint resolution.

The House joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed. . . .

1. 112 CONG. REC. 25684, 89th Cong. 2d Sess. Under consideration was H.J. Res. 1163.

2. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

AMENDMENTS OFFERED BY MR. CELLER

MR. [EMANUEL] CELLER [of New York]: Mr. Speaker, I offer a number of amendments to the preamble and ask unanimous consent that they be considered en bloc.

The Clerk read the amendments as follows:

On page 2, strike out "and" after the first complete "Whereas" clause. . . .

The amendments were agreed to. . . .

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the third reading of the House joint resolution.

—*Concurrent Resolution*

§ 19.11 Amendments to the preamble of a concurrent resolution are considered and voted on in the Committee of the Whole after amendments to the body of the resolution; and amendments to the preamble of such a resolution are voted on in the House after the resolution has been adopted.

On Oct. 30, 1945,⁽³⁾ the following proceedings took place in the Committee of the Whole:

3. 91 CONG. REC. 10202, 10203, 10205, 10206, 79th Cong. 1st Sess.

Under consideration was H. Con. Res. 80, relating to the composition of the post-war Navy.

Parliamentarian's Note: In reading a concurrent resolution with a preamble for amendment the Clerk

MR. [CARL] VINSON [of Georgia]: Mr. Chairman, I ask that the resolution be read for amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Whereas under the Constitution of the United States the Congress is charged with the responsibility of providing and maintaining a Navy; and . . .

Whereas it is necessary for the Congress to determine the size of the immediate postwar Navy giving due consideration to the security of the United States and its Territories and insular possessions . . . and

Whereas such immediate postwar Navy will require an adequate fleet and supporting aircraft, personnel, bases, and establishments: Therefore be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That it is the sense of Congress that the Navy of the United States should consist of ships of the following types and numbers:

1. Three large aircraft carriers (42,000 tons), 24 aircraft carriers (27,000 tons) . . . 367 destroyers, 296 escort destroyers, and 200 submarines.

2. That sufficient aircraft, auxiliary vessels . . . and drydocks should be maintained to support the above-enumerated fleet. . . .

MR. VINSON (interrupting reading of the bill): Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with further reading of the bill, that it be printed in the Record, and that it be in order to consider all the committee amendments en bloc.

reads the preamble first and then reads the body of the resolution although amendments to the preamble in the Committee of the Whole are considered after amendments to the body of the resolution.

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽⁴⁾ Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

The Clerk will report the committee amendments.

The Clerk read as follows:

Committee amendment:

Page 2, line 3, after the word "of", insert "not less than."

Page 2, line 5, strike out "forty-two thousand tons" and insert in lieu thereof: "total tonnage approximately one hundred and thirty-five thousand." . . .

Page 3, line 7, after the word "submarines", insert "(total tonnage approximately three hundred and fourteen thousand), aggregate tonnage, all types, approximately four million six hundred and ninety-eight thousand nine hundred."

Page 3, line 14, after the word "facilities", insert "including bases."

Page 3, line 21, strike out "unit for unit."

In the preamble, page 1, fourth paragraph, strike out "giving due consideration to the security of the United States and its Territories and insular possessions, the protection of our commerce, and the necessity for cooperating with other world powers in the maintenance of peace; and" and insert in lieu thereof "in order to insure our national integrity, support our national policies, guard the continental United States and our overseas possessions, give protection to our commerce and citizens abroad, and to cooperate with other world powers in the maintenance of peace; and." . . .

MR. [W. STERLING] COLE of New York: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if we

are going to consider the amendments to the preamble first?

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendments to the preamble are considered after amendments to the body of the resolution. . . .

The question is on the committee amendment.

The committee amendment was agreed to. . . .

MR. VINSON: . . . Mr. Chairman, I ask for a vote on the committee amendment to the preamble.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question is on the committee amendment to the preamble.

The amendment was agreed to.

After the Committee rose:

THE SPEAKER:⁽⁵⁾ Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gross.

The amendments were agreed to.

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the adoption of the resolution. [The resolution was adopted.]

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the amendment to the preamble.

The amendment to the preamble was agreed to.

§ 19.12 Amendments to the preamble of a concurrent resolution are disposed of following adoption of the concurrent resolution in the House.

On May 18, 1978,⁽⁶⁾ following the adoption of House Concurrent

5. Sam Rayburn (Tex.).

6. 124 CONG. REC. 14391, 95th Cong. 2d Sess.

4. Butler B. Hare (S.C.).

Resolution 624⁽⁷⁾ in the House, an amendment was offered to the preamble. The proceedings were as follows:

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AMENDMENT TO THE PREAMBLE

MR. [DANTE B.] FASCELL [of Florida]: Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the preamble of the concurrent resolution.

The Clerk read the preamble of the concurrent resolution.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:⁽⁸⁾ The Clerk will report the amendment to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment to the preamble: Strike out the paragraph on page 4, lines 3 through 8, and insert the following:

Whereas Yuri Orlov, the leader and founding member of the Moscow Group, was convicted this week in the Soviet Capital for such activities and sentenced to seven years in prison camp and five years in internal exile. . . .

The amendment to the preamble was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

—*Simple Resolution*

§ 19.13 In the House, an amendment to the preamble

7. Stating the sense of Congress promoting the Helsinki Agreement.

8. Frank E. Evans (Colo.).

of a simple resolution is considered after the adoption of the resolution.

On June 8, 1970,⁽⁹⁾ the following proceedings took place:

MR. [HUGH L.] CAREY [of New York]: Mr. Speaker, at what point did the Speaker put the committee amendment which appears on page 1 to strike out the preamble?

THE SPEAKER:⁽¹⁰⁾ That question will come after the adoption of the resolution. . . .

So the resolution was agreed to. . . .

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:⁽¹¹⁾ The Clerk will report the committee amendment to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Committee amendment: On page 1, strike out the preamble. . . .

So the committee amendment to the preamble was agreed to.

—*Following Adoption of Committee Amendment in Nature of Substitute*

§ 19.14 The preamble of a joint resolution may be amended in the Committee of the Whole following the adoption of a committee amendment in the nature of a substitute for the body of the joint resolution.

9. 116 CONG. REC. 18656–71, 91st Cong. 2d Sess. Under consideration was H. Res. 976.

10. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

11. Carl Albert (Okla.).

On Aug. 18, 1972,⁽¹²⁾ the following proceedings took place:

THE CHAIRMAN:⁽¹³⁾ The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will read the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows: . . .

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will report the committee amendment to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Committee amendment: Strike out the preamble.

Motion To Strike Out Preamble

§ 19.15 A motion to strike all after the resolving clause of a concurrent resolution does not affect the preamble thereof; and a motion to strike out the preamble is properly offered in the House after the resolution has been agreed to.

On Feb. 21, 1966,⁽¹⁴⁾ the following proceedings took place:

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. [Arch A.] Moore [Jr., of West Virginia]:

12. 118 CONG. REC. 29126, 92d Cong. 2d Sess. Under consideration was H.J. Res. 1227.

13. Dominick V. Daniels (N.J.).

14. 112 CONG. REC. 3473, 89th Cong. 2d Sess. Under consideration was S. Con. Res. 68.

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the provisions of House Concurrent Resolution 552 as passed.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:⁽¹⁵⁾ Is the purpose of the gentleman from West Virginia to strike out the preamble?

MR. MOORE: My amendment would strike out the language of the Senate concurrent resolution and substitute in lieu thereof the language of the concurrent resolution just passed by the House.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE: Would the amendment of the gentleman from West Virginia strike out the preamble or all after the enacting clause and substitute the language of the House concurrent resolution just passed?

MR. MOORE: It would strike out all after the enacting clause.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE: That would not eliminate the preamble.

MR. MOORE: Then, Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the preamble.

The Senate concurrent resolution was agreed to and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE: The Clerk will report the amendment of the gentleman from West Virginia.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Moore moves to strike out the preamble.

The amendment was agreed to.

Similarly, on Dec. 4, 1973,⁽¹⁶⁾ the following proceedings took place:

15. Carl Albert (Okla.).

16. 119 CONG. REC. 39337, 93d Cong. 1st Sess. Under consideration was S. Con. Res. 11.

MR. [JOHN D.] DINGELL [of Michigan]: Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Dingell moves to strike out all after the resolving clause of Senate Concurrent Resolution 11, and insert in lieu thereof the language of House Concurrent Resolution 173, as agreed to by the House.

The motion was agreed to.

[The Senate concurrent resolution as amended was agreed to.]

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. DINGELL

MR. DINGELL: Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Dingell moves to strike out the preamble of Senate Concurrent Resolution 11, and insert in lieu thereof the language of the preamble of House Concurrent Resolution 173, as agreed to by the House.

The motion was agreed to.

D. WITHDRAWAL OR MODIFICATION OF AMENDMENT

§ 20. Withdrawal

An ordinary or substitute amendment may be withdrawn in the House or in the "House as in Committee of the Whole" before a decision is rendered thereon,⁽¹⁷⁾ but it may not be withdrawn or modified in Committee of the Whole except by unanimous consent.⁽¹⁸⁾

Upon reintroduction of an amendment that has, by unani-

mous consent, been withdrawn in the Committee of the Whole, the Member is entitled to debate his amendment for a second five-minute period.⁽¹⁹⁾

Unanimous Consent Requirement

§ 20.1 In the Committee of the Whole an amendment may not be withdrawn except by unanimous consent.

On Oct. 1, 1965,⁽¹⁾ the following proceedings took place:

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. [Donald M.] Fraser [of Minnesota]: On page 2, line 2 . . . add the following proviso: . . .

17. See Rule XVI clause 2, *House Rules and Manual* § 776 (101st Cong.).

18. See Rule XIX, *House Rules and Manual* § 822, 824 (101st Cong.).

Rule XXIII clause 5 (a), *House Rules and Manual* § 870 (101st Cong.) provides that, "neither an amendment nor an amendment to an amendment shall be withdrawn by the mover thereof unless by the unanimous consent" of the Committee of the Whole.

19. See § 28.50, *infra*.

1. 111 CONG. REC. 25794, 89th Cong. 1st Sess. Under consideration was H.R. 6519.